

REBUTTAL FROM TOMMY CRYER

Folks,

I have one last comment on this string. Nothing more. And not because I believe the issues warrant this much attention, but because the harm these people are doing can only be prevented by exposure.

There is no such thing as invented law any more than there is an invented fact. While we can discover facts of which we were previously unaware or had been buried in ruins for hundreds of years, law is all a matter of public record. It says what it means and means what it says.

Fiction, however, can be copyrighted and sold.

This “redemption” nonsense is just that. If there were any basis at all it would be a matter of public record and it would be unnecessary to doctor that record. The Penhallow case upon which it is all based has been totally misrepresented, the quotation from that case having been made up by sick promoters who get their cookies by pushing innocents stupid enough to believe under the bus.

Some time ago I took Bob Hurt on a research mission to check out exactly what a 1099 OID is, what it is for and how it can--and cannot--be used. I note that he is in the email string above, so, Bob, if you can find that I think it would be beneficial for you to share it with this group.

On the bankruptcy/ALL CAPS/redemption nonsense, the initial misrepresentation of the Traficant quote, and subsequent exaggeration of its import and meaning is still another example. Nixon said “I am not a crook.” Clinton said “I did not have . . .” well . . . you know the rest of that one. Traficant said . . . who cares what any of them said? Any legal action, bankruptcies included, must begin with a filing and notice to concerned parties. Where is that? If it isn't there it hasn't happened. There are sealed documents, but there is no such thing as a private or secret court.

We have enough problems with the courts ignoring the law and the bar cowed into pulling its punches as it is. We have enough problems getting people to accept the truth about the IRS's fraudulent activities. These snake oil peddlers, more likely than not at IRS instigation and encouragement, are making it a lot harder, both by engaging in misdirection and disinformation, clouding the truth, and by discrediting the movement all of which benefits only the beast.

That would be damaging enough to warrant exposing them, but they aren't content with interfering with and discrediting the tax honesty movement, they have to hurt people before they're satisfied. I know too many good men and women who are either in prison right now or are awaiting sentencing because they followed these self-proclaimed gurus.

The truth has to get into the mainstream of the public and of the legal community before this stops. Poisoning the well with this rot makes that almost impossible.

I'll give you an example. This last week Chuck Behm and I toured Florida lining up local support and leadership for Truth Attack's Tea Party Squared motor march in October and November. While we were in the Miami area Chuck wanted to stop in at a law school there, so we did. He went into the dean's office and met with her and when he told her that there were genuine issues about the tax code that deserved attention she was interested in knowing what they were.

When he told her there was no liability provision applicable to the average working American she looked at him the same way I looked at George when he told me that, like he had three heads. But she listened. He also told her that the legal, Constitutional definition of “income” was far more limited

than that published and applied by the IRS and that the Constitutional scope of the federal government's taxing authority was far more restrictive than publicly believed. She had no difficulty understanding how the latter points could happen, but had difficulty believing that the IRS would apply the tax to anyone not specifically and literally made liable.

Chuck did not ask her to accept what he said without checking it out herself, and he gave her a pair of CD's, one from me including the "Notorious Notebook", The Memorandum, full text of all Supreme Court cases cited and other authorities and the other CD, Becraft's Searchable Tax Acts and Regs.

Because of his sincerity and his own credentials and that he was willing to provide authoritative documentation and the credibility of the sources, the dean took Chuck seriously and assured him that she would examine the materials. If she does she can come to only one conclusion and there will be no way to undo that revelation. Her final comment was that if this is correct then the law school should weigh in on these issues and the idea of being the first law school to do so seemed very appealing to her.

He then met a student who is on the moot court committee and they had a very similar conversation. After being provided a set of CD's he was excited and anxious to check them out and thought that the issues may make good moot court material.

Chuck also tracked down a senior student who was not only president of the school's chapter of the ABA, but also on the law school's Law Review. He gave her a set of CD's and her attention was enhanced when she saw my and Larry's credentials. In fact, she intends to write a Law Review article on the subject and she asked Chuck if I would come back and lecture the ABA student membership on these issues and I have every intention of doing just that.

Our foot is in the door and we are on the verge of awakening an entire faculty and student body of a law school to these genuine issues. However, if Chuck had merely gone in there and told this dean and these two students thus and so, it probably would have ended there. It was the fact that he could and did back it up with persuasive and controlling authorities that could be independently cross-checked and verified and from credible sources who possessed the credentials upon which to base credibility that they were not only willing, but anxious, to explore.

But let's try that with these invented and extrapolated theories, shall we? "Hi, Dean Weebleburger, I'm a non-jewish, non lawyer Rabbi and JD who you can believe is pretty smart because I helped a guy named Zidar con at least \$65 Million out of the unwitting masses with a Ponzi scheme. I'm here to tell you that the U.S. has been secretly in bankruptcy proceedings and that it is really now a foreign corporation taken over by the IMF who now holds mortgages on all of us because our birth certificates use ALL CAPS, which creates a corporate entity, our straw man. We each have a (whatever the rate of the day is now) million dollar account we can draw on to buy or pay for anything we want.

"What's that? What authority do I have for this news? Well . . . uh . . . I have a quote by Traficant speaking on the floor of Congress saying that they were trustees of a bankrupt entity.

"Figuratively? No, I'm sure he meant it literally, and that proves it all. . . . No, I don't have any other proof, but some birth certificates do use all caps, so that proves that part, and I heard a Supreme Court case, Penhallow, says we all must have a corporate equivalent because the government cannot interact with real people. Citation? Ohhh, you don't really need to check that . . . do you?"

Now, is this dean or either of the two students going to go check that out? These are legal scholars and there is no way they are going to fall for that rot, but suppose out of some wild notion they decide to look up the quote and see what was obviously a reference to our condition, not status, and which does not support the conclusions proffered, or suppose they decide to look up Penhallow and find

out the honorable Rabbi is lying about the holding of the court. Has our cause been advanced or harmed?

Finally, let's see how this works by "respecting alternative methods". We all join hands, sing Koom-bayah, kissy-facey-kissy-facey make up and even fill out a 1099 OID to buy the world a Coke. Let's do this TOGETHER, shall we?

Chuck and the "Rabbi" walk into the dean's office smiling and holding hands and "Hi, Dean Weeble-burger, WE are here to tell you about serious issues regarding the IRS's application of the income tax and WE want to let you know that the U.S. has secretly gone through a secret bankruptcy and WE have been bought out and mortgaged because some of OUR birth certificates print our names in all caps, and that means WE have a Gazillon Million dollar account WE can draw against by using an IRS interest reporting form, but only if it's filled out exactly right according to the mystical, magical secret method that WE can let you have for only \$5,000 . . . cash, of course." Big, broad smiles on both faces.

Think we get in the door with that kind of garbage hanging around our necks? Think the dean is going to read anything WE give her? Not for a minute. Do you think the dean is going to afford any weight to Chuck's announcement and rush to the law library to research it? Not on your life.

So where are the authorities that can be independently cross-checked and verified? Where are the cases where the courts have recognized a "trustee's" right to draw on straw? If this is "real" there is a record of it. Where? Oh . . . the absence of a record proves it because it's secret?

Well, that reminds me of the old elephant joke. Why do elephants have pink eyes? To hide in cherry trees. Ever seen an elephant in a cherry tree? No? Pretty good disguise.

Successes? What does that prove other than the sheer stupidity of computers? I often drive 75 in a 70 MPH zone, even past troopers sometimes, and don't get a ticket. Does that prove that there are no speed limits? Or that there is a secret straw speed limit that only those who have paid to know to drive at exactly that speed and with their left blinker stuck can go by?

The problem here is these folks aren't telling straw man jokes, they're conning people into harming themselves, going to prison, losing everything just for a brief lapse of morality in yielding to the temptation to get something that does not belong to them. Worse, they are discrediting and crippling the tax honesty movement, serving the interests of the beast rather than the patriot.

And that's all I have to say.

Tom

COMMENT BY DR. HOROWITZ

Regarding the "strawman" and "reclamation/redemption," I've been "had" for the same reason people trust vaccines. People generally believe that vaccines will do what God won't, . . . protect them. People lose faith in God so they turn to medical deities. Likewise, people lose faith in government, know there must be a better way, so turn to frauds. Then they get convinced the frauds/medical deities must be correct, and the guys really telling the truth are whackos and "conspiracy theorists."

Aloha,

Len